

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,015	01/26/2004	James L. Gole	62004-1662	1279
24504	7590 07/21/2005		EXAM	INER
,	MAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP PHAM, LONG			LONG
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 30339-5948		2814	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	į	10/765,015	GOLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summa	ary	Examiner	Art Unit)		
		Long Pham	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this co		ars on the cover sheet with the c				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t If the period for reply specified above is less tha If NO period for reply specified above, the ma Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply v ximum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, c months after the mailing d	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•	•		
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.				
, —						
closed in accordance with the	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 45-52 and 54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 50-52 and 54 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 45-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is object.	-	n is required if the drawing(s) is ob miner. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p	e of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priorit pernational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicati y documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/26/04</u> .	1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-192)			

Application/Control Number: 10/765,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 45-49 in the reply filed on 06/03/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 45, 46, 47, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vo-Dinh (US patent 6,174,677) in combination with Sandhu et al. (US 2004/0192003).

With respect to claims 45, 46, 48, and, 49, Vo-Dinh teaches a method of metallization a nanostructure, comprising the steps of (see col. 27, lines 15-45):

forming a nanosphere;

metallizing the nanosphere with a metal (silver) to form a metallized nanosphere that has been metallized with the metal.

Further with respect to claim 46, Vo-Dinh teaches metallization the nanosphere with silver but fails to teach that the metallization is done with copper.

Sandhu et al. teach copper metallization has the advantages in resistivity, ductility, and melting. See [0003].

Art Unit: 2814

It would have been obvious to one of <u>ordinary skill</u> in the art of making semiconductor devices to metallize the nanosphere with copper in the method of Vo-Dinh to achieve the above advantages.

With respect to claim 47, Vo-Dinh and Sandhu et al. teach metallization nanosphere with copper but fail to teach the weight percent of copper.

However, it would have been obvious to one of <u>ordinary skill</u> in the art of making semiconductor devices to determine the workable or optimal value for the weight of copper in the metallization through routine experimentation and optimization since it has been held that it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges of a result-effective variable within given prior art conditions by routine experimentation. See MPEP 2144.05.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,015

Art Unit: 2814

Page 4

Long Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814

LP